

WORKFORCE 'DISABILITY' AND 'RACE' EQUALITY STANDARDS

GHC Workforce Data and Action Plans 2022/23

The following sets out Gloucestershire Health and Care NHS Foundation Trust's plans to address Disability and Race inequalities within in its workforce and the provision of its services

Tania Hamilton – EDI Lead tania.hamilton@ghc.nhs.uk October 2022

Workforce Disability Equality Standard (WDES) and Workforce Race Equality Standard (WRES) Data and Action Plan October 2022 - October 2023

Contents

WDES and WRES Metrics and Indicators summarised (2) WDES Data (3-4) WRES Data (5-7) WDES Action Plan (8-13) WRES Action Plan (13-16) Lead roles (16)

At a glance summary of the WDES metrics and WRES indicators and the data required from ESR and the Staff Survey are set below in tables 1 and 2 respectively:

WDES Metric	Disability – INCLUDES Bank Workers • Disabled • Non-Disabled	WRES Indicator	Race – EXCLUDES Bank Workers White BME Ethnicity Unknown / Null
1	Headcount	1	Headcount
2	Shortlisted applicants and likelihood of appt	2	Shortlisted applicants and likelihood of appt
3	Average number [average headcount] entering formal Capability and how many are solely ill- health	3	Number [actual headcount] entering formal Disciplinary/Investigation to calculate the relative likelihood
		4	Accessing non-mandatory training and CPD and likelihood
4a – 9a	NHS Staff survey (4a - 9a)	5 - 8	NHS Staff survey (5 - 8)
9b	Action taken to facilitate the voices of disabled staff		
10	Board Members – by disability and % to overall workforce by voting / non-voting by Exec / Non-Exec	9	Board Members – by ethnicity and % to overall workforce by voting / non-voting by Exec / Non-Exec
WDES Survey	Disability Survey on experiences, actions and targets (25 questions)		

Table 1 – Data Collection Framework Metrics and Indicators

Table 2 – Staff Survey Questions

NHS	NHS Staff Survey 2021 WRES & WDES dashboard							
	WDES	WRES						
4(a)	Experiencing bullying / harassment / abuse	5	% Experiencing bullying / harassment / abuse – from public/patients 12 months					
4(b)	Reporting bullying and harassment taken to facilitate the voices of disabled staff	6	% Experiencing bullying / harassment / abuse – from colleagues 12 months					
5	Equal opportunities for progression and promotion	7	Equal opportunities for progression and promotion					
6	Experiencing pressure to attend work when feeling unwell	8	Personal experience of discrimination from manager / colleagues					
7	Staff satisfaction and extent to feeling valued							
8	Adequate adjustments for long term illness							
9(a)	Staff engagement							

Metrics			Disabled	Non- disabled	Unknown	
1	Headcount – Non-Clinical	AfC Bands 1 (and		Headcount		Total Headcount Bands 1 (and under), 2, 3 and 4
	The percentage of staff in AfC	f staff in AfC and 4	39	684 Percentage	130	853
	paybands or medical and dental	AfC	4.6%	80.2% Headcount	15.2%	Total Headcount
	subgroups and	Bands 5, 6 and				Bands 5,6 and 7
	very senior managers	7	8	247 Percentage	22	277
	(including Executive Board		2.9%	89.2%	7.9%	
	members)	AfC Bands 8a and		Headcount		Total Headcount Bands 8a and 8b
	compared with the percentage	8b	2	51 Percentage	2	55
	of staff in the overall		3.6%	92.7%	3.6%	
	workforce.	AfC Bands 8c, 8d, 9		Headcount		Total Headcount Bands 8c, 8d, 9 and VSM
		and VSM	0	18 Democratoria	4	22
			0%	Percentage 81.8%	18.2%	22
1	Headcount – Clinical	ClinicalBands 1 (andThe percentageunder),1, 2, 3of staff in AfCand 4oaybands or		Headcount		Total Headcount Bands 1 (and under), 2, 3 and 4
	The percentage		30	808	115	953
	paybands or		3.1%	Percentage 84.8%	12.1%	900
	medical and dental	AfC Bands 5, 6 and		Headcount		Total Headcount Bands 5,6 and 7
	subgroups and very senior	7	103	1793 Percentage	225	2151
	managers (including		4.8%	83.4% Headcount	11.9%	Total Headcount
	Executive Board members)	AfC Bands 8a and				Bands 8a and 8b
	compared with the percentage	8b	3	153 Percentage	17	173
	of staff in the		1.7%	88.4%	9.8%	
	overall workforce.	AfC Bands 8c, 8d, 9		Headcount		Total Headcount Bands 8c, 8d, 9 and VSM
		and VSM	0	15 Percentage	1	16
			0%	93.8%	6.3%	
2	Recruitment Relative likelihood of non-disabled staff compared to Disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts	Number of shortlisted applicants	426	5154	113	Total Relative likelihood of non- disabled staff compared to Disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts
		Number appointed from shortlisting	64	1229	19	

		Likelihood of shortlisting/ appointed	0.15	0.24	0.17	1.59		
3	Capability Relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to	Number of staff in workforce	234	4784	681	Total Relative likelihood of Disabled staff entering the formal capability process compared to non-disabled staff		
	non-disabled staff entering the formal capability process, as	Average number of staff entering the formal capability for any reason	0	11.5	9			
	measured by entry into the formal capability procedure.	Of these, how many are on the grounds of ill health?	0	0	0	0		
		Likelihood of staff entering the formal capability process	0.000000	0.002404	0.013216			
4-9a	Staff Survey data	> Woi > >	3.5% in terms colleagues 10.2% in term	s of those expenses of those say	riencing haras) improvements in the scores by : ssment, bullying or abuse from st time they experienced ey or a colleague reported it		
9b	Staff Engagemen Has your organisa to facilitate the voi staff to be heard?	tion taken action		Please provide at least one practical example of current action being taken in the relevant section of your WDES annual report Monthly Disability Awareness Network with a Chair and Co- Chair and resourced from and supported by the OD Team's				
			Yes	EDI Lead. Ch	nair and Co-Ch	nair also attend the corporate by a NED and involves Board		
						e access to the quarterly Pulse at bi-monthly forums.		
				The Disability meeting.	y Network Cha	ir attends the monthly Execs		
			Disabled	Non- disabled	Unknown			
10	Board voting membership Percentage difference between the	Total Board members	0	10	4	Total Percentage difference between the organisation's Board voting membership and its organisation's overall workforce		
	organisation's Board voting membership and its organisation's	How many are voting members	0	10	4			
	overall workforce	Number of non- voting members	0	0	0	?		

Indicators			White	BME	Ethnicity unknown/	
4-	Handarunt	Lundan Dan d 4	0	0	Null	Total Use descet
1a	Headcount Non-Clinical	Under Band 1	0	0	0	Total Headcount: 0
	Workforce	Band 1	16	33	0	Total Headcount: 49
		Band 2	294	31	6	Total Headcount: 331
		Band 3	301	15	4	Total Headcount: 320
		Band 4	171	11	1	Total Headcount: 183
		Band 5	103	9	2	Total Headcount: 114
		Band 6	90	11	1	Total Headcount: 102
		Band 7	62	5	2	Total Headcount: 134
		Band 8A	31	4	1	Total Headcount: 36
		Band 8B	19	2	1	Total Headcount: 22
		Band 8C	10	0	0	Total Headcount: 10
		Band 8D	6	0	0	Total Headcount: 6
		Band 9	0	0	0	Total Headcount:
		VSM	5	0	0	Total Headcount: 5
1b	Headcount Clinical Workforce	Under Band 1	0	0	0	Total Headcount: 0
		Band 1	0	0	0	Total Headcount: 0
		Band 2	211	18	0	Total Headcount: 229
		Band 3	385	55	10	Total Headcount: 450
		Band 4	253	19	2	Total Headcount: 274
		Band 5	590	87	9	Total Headcount: 686
		Band 6	926	55	11	Total Headcount: 992
		Band 7	446	22	5	Total Headcount: 473
		Band 8A	126	3	4	Total Headcount: 133
		Band 8B	37	1	2	Total Headcount: 40
		Band 8C	6	0	0	Total Headcount: 6

		Band 8D	5	0	0	Total Headcount: 5
		Band 9	3	0	0	Total Headcount: 3
		VSM	1	1	0	Total Headcount: 2
		Medical & Dental Consultants	40	20	3	Total Headcount: 63
		Of which Senior Medical Manager	0	0	0	Total Headcount: 0
		Non-consultant career grade	31	14	0	Total Headcount: 45
		Trainee Grades	20	7	1	Total Headcount: 28
		Other	11	0	1	Total Headcount: 12
2	Relative likelihood of staff being appointed	Number of shortlisted applicants	3914	779	65	Total Headcount: 4,758
	from shortlisting across all posts	Number of appointed from shortlisting	1086	114	20	Total Headcount: 1,220
		Relative likelihood of appointment from shortlisting	28%	15%	31%	Total: Relative likelihood of White staff being appointed from shortlisting compared to BME staff 1.87
3	Relative likelihood of staff entering the	Number of staff in workforce	4,199	393	66	Total: 4,658
	formal disciplinary process, as measured by entry into a	Number of staff entering the formal disciplinary process	17	1	1	Total: 19
	formal disciplinary investigation	Likelihood of staff entering the formal disciplinary process	0%	0%	2%	Total: Relative likelihood of BME staff entering the formal disciplinary Process compared to White staff
						0%
4	Relative likelihood of staff accessing non- mandatory	Number of staff in workforce	4,199	393	66	Total 4,658
	training and CPD	Number of staff accessing nonmandatory training and CPD	3679	344	58	Total: 4,081
		Likelihood of staff accessing non-mandatory	88%	88%	88%	Total:

		CPD				accessing non-mandatory traini and CPD compared to BME star 1%
4-9	Staff Survey Data	> W	2.9% in ter colleagues 2% in term	ms of those ex	periencing ha	improvements in the scores by: rassment, bullying or abuse fron Trust provides equal opportuniti
9	Percentage difference between the	Total Board members	12	2	0	Total: 14
	organisations' Board voting membership and	Of which: Voting Board members	12	2	0	Total: 14
	its overall workforce.	Non-voting Board members	0	0	0	Total: 0
		Exec Board members	6	1	0	Total: 7
		Non-exec Board members	6	1	0	Total: 7
		Number of staff in workforce	4,199	393	66	Total: 4,658
		Total Board members- % by Ethnicity	86%	14%	0%	
		Voting Board members-% by Ethnicity	0%	0%	0%	
		Non-voting Board members-% by Ethnicity	0%	0%	0%	
		Executive Board members-% by Ethnicity	0%	0%	0%	
		Non-executive Board members-% by Ethnicity	0%	0%	0%	
		Overall Workforce-% by Ethnicity	90%	8%	1%	
		Difference (Total board – Overall workforce)	-4%	6%	-1%	

Workforce Equality Standards Result Highlights (WRES and WDES)

	BME	White	LTC	Non LTC
% experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients/public in the last 12 months	34.1% (132)	27.4% (2201)	29.4% (588)	27.0% (1743)
% experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from colleagues in the last 12 months	21.8% (133)	18.9% (2197)	16.6% (584)	14.1% (1714)
% who believe organisation provides equal opportunity with regard to career progression / promotion	45.9% (133)	59.6% (2191)	57.0% (582)	59.1% (1740)
% of staff experiencing discrimination at work from manager / team leader or other colleagues in last 12 months	12.3% (130)	4.3% (2197)		

working together | always improving | respectful and kind | making a difference



Gloucestershire Health and Care

Workforce Equality Standards Result Highlights (WDES Specific)

	LTC	Non LTC
% experiencing harassment, bullying from managers	11.8% (583)	7.3% (1736)
% experiencing harassment, bullying at work reported it	60.2% (211)	60.0% (543)
% felt pressure to come to work despite not feeling well enough	20.5% (390)	17.5% (806)
% satisfied that organisation values their work	43.1% (589)	51.3% (1749)
% of staff with LTC say employer has made adequate adjustments	84.7% (334)	
Staff Engagement (scale 0- 10) (org average 7.2)	7.0 (590)	7.3 (1755)

working together | always improving | respectful and kind | making a difference







Workforce Disability Equality Standard (WDES) 18 actions

WDES Metric (Disability)	Action	Different to / Continuation of Current Practice	Target Date for Completion	Lead	KPIs for monitoring actions and sustainability
Metric 1 Percentage of staff in AfC pay bands or medical and dental subgroups and very senior managers (including Executive Board members) compared with the percentage of staff in the overall workforce.	 Communications and data quality campaign to reduce the number of staff whose Electronic Staff Record (ESR) data is recorded as 'not stated' This will be linked to WRES reporting also to encourage staff to update their equalities data. ESR does not currently reflect a true representation, in contrast to the Staff Survey which shows a larger proportion of colleagues voluntarily sharing data about their disability and is therefore more representative. 	Continuation	Jan 2023	Assoc Dir. Workforce Systems	ESR data quality and WDES reporting will significantly improve >50% and mirror the NHS Staff Survey data. >=10% year on year reduction in 'not stated' Trust's 2021-26 People Strategy has agreed actions to improve disability recruitment.
	2. Undertake detailed data analysis to identify specific roles or directorates where disabled colleagues are less represented at Band 7 and above level to inform proactive, target campaigns	Different	Mar 2023	Assoc Dir. Workforce Systems + Dep. Dir. HR	Disability recruitment is a strand of all directorate, service and Trust-level Workforce Plans targeted at increasing representation in line with the Long-Term Plan ambitions Increased & score on staff survey questions about fairness of career progression from disabled staff

WDES Metric (Disability)	Action	Different to / Continuation of Current Practice	Target Date for Completion	Lead	KPIs for monitoring actions and sustainability
Metric 2 Recruitment Relative likelihood of non- disabled staff compared to Disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts	 Diverse panels and trained panels and focus groups 	Continuation	On-going / BAU	HRM (Rect) + EDI Lead	Reduction in disparity ratios between number of applicants to appointments
Metric 3 Capability Relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to non- disabled staff entering the formal capability process, as measured by entry into the formal capability procedure.	 Retain good practice reflected in our data of the Managing Attendance Policy and the Purple Passport principles 	Continuation	On-going / BAU	Dep. Dir. HR	Increased number of applicants and appointments of people with disabilities
Metric 4 Staff Survey WDES question a) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non- disabled staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from: a) Patients/Service users,	5. Conduct more detailed analysis of staff survey results to determine whether any service, profession or geographical patterns exist and target interventions to address	Continuation	On-going	Ambass. Cultural Change/FTSU + HR Engagement Mgr	Further development of the Speak Up Champion, Advocates and Dignity At Work advisers in line with Trust Values Reduction in B&H incidents taking place
a) Patients/Service users, their relatives or other members of the public a. Staff with a LTC b. Staff without a LTC b) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non- disabled staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from manager	 Communication campaign focused on the value added by disabled workforce through our new trust values and Executive blogs 	Continuation	On-going	Chief Executive + Head of Comms + HR Engagement Mgr	
a. Staff with a LTC b. Staff without a LTC c) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non- disabled staff experiencing	 Subject to funding consider making EDI training essential for anyone with responsibility for investigating /addressing bullying or harassment issues 	Different	On-going	Dir. of HR & OD	

WDES Metric (Disability)	Action	Different to / Continuation of Current Practice	Target Date for Completion	Lead	KPIs for monitoring actions and sustainability
 harassment, bullying or abuse from other colleagues a. Staff with a LTC b. Staff without a LTC d) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non- disabled staff saying that the last time they experienced harassment, bullying or abuse at work, they or a colleague reported it 	8. Engage with colleagues to further develop a network of Speak Up Champions across the Trust to help champion diversity, challenge inappropriate behaviour and act as knowledge points to signpost colleagues appropriate to resources.	Continuation Continuation Continuation Continuation Continuation Continuation Continuation Continuation	On-going	Ambass. Cultural Change/FTSU	
Metric 5 Staff Survey WDES Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff believing that the Trust provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion. a. Staff with a LTC b. Staff without a LTC	9. Conduct more detailed analysis of staff survey results to determine whether any service, profession or geographical patterns exist and target interventions to address	Continuation	On-going)	HRM (Rect) + EDI Lead	Increase in applications from disabled colleagues Increased engagement with the Race & Cultural Awareness Network Proportionate number of appointments to number of applicants
Metric 6 Staff Survey WDES Percentage of staff with a LTC compared to staff without a LTC saying that they have felt pressure from their manager to come to work, despite not feeling well enough to perform their duties. a. Staff with a LTC b. Staff without a LTC	10. Identifying "champions" with or without lived experience to form allyships and mentoring to disabled colleagues (RMP)	Different we were we were we were we were we were we were we were	Mar 2023	Head of Leadership & OD + EDI Lead	Overall health and wellbeing improved Skilled, confident managers Trust values "working together" to create an improved culture

WDES Metric (Disability)	Action	Different to / Continuation of Current Practice	Target Date for Completion	Lead	KPIs for monitoring actions and sustainability
Metric 7 Staff Survey WDES Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that they are satisfied with the extent to which their organisation values their work. a. Staff with a LTC b. Staff without a LTC	 11. Increase the uptake of the NHS Annual Staff Survey 2021 with the promotion of Trust WDES plan 12. Detailed analysis of the NHS Annual Staff Survey 2020 results to identify trends 	Continuation	On-going On-going	Head of Leadership & OD Head of Leadership & OD	Improved retention figures and staff choosing to stay within the Trust / ICS
Metric 8 Staff Survey WDES Percentage of Disabled staff saying that their employer has made adequate adjustment(s) to enable them to carry out their work. Staff with a LTC	 13. Continue to facilitate regular and ad hoc / bespoke management signposting to training on reasonable adjustments, Purple Passport 14. Invest and promote relevant awareness days to ensure colleagues feel valued and included (via induction, internal comms and Diversity Networks) 	Continuation	On-going	Svc Dir. OH + HR Engagement Mgr Svc Dir. OH + HR Engagement Mgr	Increased opportunity for internal and external advice, training. Additional content on Working Well website Current awareness through social media and Trust events i.e. Carers week, Deaf Awareness week and Mental Health Day Evidence of wider use of external expert employment support agencies
Metric 9 Staff survey WDES The staff engagement score for Disabled staff, compared to non-disabled staff. a. Staff with a LTC b. Staff without a LTC b) Has your Trust taken action to facilitate the voices of	15. Build confidence by promoting and making accessible, specific actions to support the employment and needs of disabled staff	Continuation	On-going	Head of Leadership & OD	Engagement and stakeholder management increased, policy and process development Becoming and go-to employer of choice and system lead

WDES Metric (Disability)	Action	Different to / Continuation of Current Practice	Target Date for Completion	Lead	KPIs for monitoring actions and sustainability
Disabled staff in your organisation to be heard?					
Yes Metric 10					Detter regressed at issue of the
Percentage difference between the organisation's Board voting membership and its organisation's overall workforce, disaggregated:	16. Increase the overall visibility of inclusion within Trust Board and Senior Leaders. Proactively and positively seek diversity through future appointments	Different	On-going	Dir. of HR/OD + Chief Executive + Trust Chair	Better representation of the Gloucestershire community's disability demographics at Board and Senior Leadership level. Awareness to the Trust Board around equality issues through the use of patient/staff stories
	17. Build on the reciprocal mentoring programme and offers of coaching and mentoring and shadowing opportunities with Exec Team	Continuation	On-track / On-going across ICS	Dir. of HR/OD + Head of Leadership/OD	Board members reciprocally mentoring cohort of staff with positive evaluation evidencing Board better understanding
	18. Proactively encourage disabled applicants to apply for future roles and target through executive search and disclose the makeup of the current board on advertisement	Different	On-going	Dir. of HR/OD	obstacles and enablers Increased % of disabled applicants for Board and directly sub Board roles

Workforce Race Equality Standard (WRES) 15 actions

WRES Metric (Race)	Action	Different to / Continuatio n of Current Practice	Target Completion Date	Lead	KPIs for monitoring actions and sustainability
Metric 1 Percentage of staff in each of the AfC Bands 1-9 OR Medical and Dental subgroups and VSM (including executive Board members) compared with the percentage of staff in the overall workforce	 As Metric 1 WDES above Promote and facilitate colleagues to attend 'Ready Now' training programme available to those working at Band 8+ and the 'Stepping Up' programme available to those working at bands 4-7 through the NHS Leadership 	Continuation	Jan and Mar 2023	Head of Leadership/OD	Information relating to both programmes will be available to colleagues through communications, intranet leadership pages and senior managers targeting staff to who the programmes are most suited to
	 3. ESR data recording as per WDES metric 1 	Continuation	On-going	Assoc Dir. Workforce Systems	As per WDES Metric 1 - BME recruitment is a strand of all workforce plans and Recruitment and Retention Strategy
Metric 2 Relative likelihood of white applicants being appointed from shortlisting compared to BME applicants	 Train panels who are representative of protected groups 	Continuation	On-going / BAU	HRM (Rect) + EDI Lead	Reduction in disparity ratios between number of applicants to appointments
Metric 3 Relative likelihood of BME staff entering the formal disciplinary process compared to white staff	 Identify and develop relevant training for managers 	Continuation	On-going	Deputy Dir. of HR + OD Expert	Increased number of applicants and appointments of people from ethnic minority backgrounds

WRES Metric (Race)	Action	Different to / Continuatio n of Current Practice	Target Completion Date	Lead	KPIs for monitoring actions and sustainability
Metric 4 Relative likelihood of white staff accessing non-mandatory training and continuous professional development (CPD) compared to BME staff	 Continue to achieve equity by monitoring and evaluating programmes through the Networks 	Continuation	On-going	Head of Leadership/OD + EDI Lead	Wider pool of promotion opportunities
	7. ICS programmes such as Flourish and Allyship	Continuation	On-going	Head of Leadership/OD + EDI Lead	
Metric 5 Staff Survey Percentage of BME staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 months a. BME staff 33% (0.9% above national average) b. White staff 24.5% (0.9% below national	 8. As Metric 4 WDES 9. Continue to build relationships with partner organisation networks to identify shared risks across the system through the WRES metrics, and review shared solutions across the system 10. Encourage colleagues to report on Datix when they experience any bullying, harassment or abuse from 	Continuation	On-going / BAU On-going / BAU	Chief Executive + EDI Lead Security Management Specialist	Successful engagement with the Diversity Network and Race & Cultural Awareness Network for Gloucestershire with representation from the Trust (EDI Lead) with an aim to support learning from other organisations
average) Metric 6	patients, relatives or public 11. As WDES Metric 4	Erns Raz Englist Ernstragenery an inclusion			Steps towards our "great place
Staff survey Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in the last 12 months	12. Continue to forge links with the FTSU Guardian, Staff Side and policy group / civility and respect principles	Continuation	On-going / BAU	Ambass. Cultural Change/FTSU +	to work" aim

WRES Metric (Race)	Action	Different to / Continuatio n of Current Practice	Target Completion Date	Lead	KPIs for monitoring actions and sustainability
a. BME staff 24.7% (0.3% below national average) b. White staff 21% (1.4% above national average)				Dep. Dir of HR/OD + EDI Lead	
Metric 7 Staff Survey Percentage of staff believing that their trust provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion a. BME staff 74.1% (1.4% above national average) b. White staff 87.8% (0.9% below national average)	13. Conduct more detailed analysis of staff survey results to determine whether any service, profession or geographical patterns exist and target interventions to address	Continuation	On-going	HRM (Rect) + EDI Lead + Head of Leadership & OD	Increase in applications from colleagues from a minority ethnic background Increased engagement with the Race & Cultural Awareness Network Proportionate number of appointments to number of applicants
Metric 8 Staff Survey Percentage of staff personally experienced discrimination at work from manager/team leader or other colleagues a. BME staff 10.2% (4.9% below national average) b. White staff 5% (0.6% below national average)	14. Engage with and build the staff led Diversity Network and the Race & Cultural Network which has a key role in overseeing plans to improve the experiences of all colleagues with a protected characteristic, in collaboration with Staff side colleagues	Continuation	On-going	EDI Lead + Ambass. Cultural Change/FTSU	Further development of the Speak Up Champion role in line with Trust Values
Metric 9 Board Membership	15. Increase the overall visibility of inclusion within Trust Board and Senior Leaders. Proactively and	Different	On-going	Dir. of HR/OD + Chief Executive +	Board leading by example and appearing accessible to colleagues

WRES Metric (Race)	Action	Different to / Continuatio n of Current Practice	Target Completion Date	Lead	KPIs for monitoring actions and sustainability
Percentage difference between the organisation's board voting membership and its overall workforce	positively seek diversity through future appointments			Trust Chair	

Lead Titles	Abbreviations
Ambassador for Cultural Change / Freedom to Speak Up Guardian	Ambass. Cultural Change/FTSU
Associate Director of Organisational Development & Learning & Development	Assoc Dir. OD/L&D
Associate Dir. Of Workforce Systems & Planning	Assoc Dir. Workforce Systems
Chief Executive	Chief Executive
Deputy Director of Human Resources	Dep. Dir. HR
Director of Human Resources & Organisational Development	Dir. of HR/OD
Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Lead	EDI Lead
Service Director, Working Well Occupational Health	Svc Dir. OH
Head of Communications	Head of Comms
Head of Leadership & Organisational Development	Head of Leadership/OD
Human Resources & Engagement Manager	HR Engagement Mgr
Organisational Development Expert	OD Expert
Security Management Specialists	Security Management Specialists
Senior HR Manager (Recruitment)	HRM (Rect)
Trust Chair	Trust Chair
1	

¹ All ESR and staff data sets relied upon are as at 31st March 2021.

KEY: Our Six Strategic Objectives and Our Commitments

	Model Recruitment and Retention
	We will attract new people who are as great as those we already have. We will do what we can to
Model Recruitment and Retention	encourage people to stay, welcoming flexible working, innovative roles and new ways of working.
	Health & Wellbeing
Health and Weilbaing	We will put the physical and mental health and wellbeing of our people as one of our top workforce priorities.
	Great Culture, Values and Behaviours
Great Culture, Values and Behaviours	We will develop a great culture with kind, compassionate leadership, strong values and behaviours, and where working life can be passionate, vibrant, innovative and inspiring.
	Strong Voice
Streng Voice	We will make sure people have a strong voice, are heard, valued and influential in the organisation and in the wider local, regional and national systems.
	EDI
Equality, Elversity and Inclusion	We will be a fair organisation that celebrates diversity and ensures real equality and inclusion. People will be able to bring their hearts to work, free from bullying or discrimination.
	Full Potential
Fil Detail	We will make this a place where people get great training and development to realise their full potential. We will develop stronger partnerships with education and training providers.